



administrative law judges where it is alleged that they have exceeded their jurisdiction and authority.

Claimant's allegation that the Administrative Law Judge erred in not granting claimant's request for additional treatment does not give rise to any of the issues listed in K.S.A. 44-534a, as amended by S.B. 649 (1996), to establish the jurisdiction of the Appeals Board. Likewise, because the administrative law judge is empowered to decide questions concerning medical authorization, the denial of such request at the preliminary hearing level does not give rise to Appeals Board jurisdiction.

**WHEREFORE**, it is the finding, decision, and order of the Appeals Board that the this review should be, and hereby is, dismissed and that the preliminary hearing Order entered by Administrative Law Judge John D. Clark dated April 1, 1996 remains in full force and effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated this \_\_\_\_ day of June 1996.

---

BOARD MEMBER

c: James B. Zongker, Wichita, KS  
Ronald J. Laskowski, Topeka, KS  
John D. Clark, Administrative Law Judge  
Philip S. Harness, Director